UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,778	07/24/2003	Yoshinari Morimoto	116571	8193
25944 • OLIEE & RE	7590 01/19/2007 RRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19	928	HUFFMAN, JULIAN D		
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/625,778	MORIMOTO, YOSHINARI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Julian D. Huffman	2853		

	Julian D. Huffman	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(576) 66 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o 	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appe	date of filing a brief, al and/or appellant fa	will <u>not</u> be ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. S	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	11:001	11
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		John M	forma
		Julian D.	formas Huffman Examiner 2853
		Primary	txaminer
	,	Art Unit	7827

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Michel et al. does not reprint the best pattern. Contrary to applicant's argument, Michel explicitly states that the selected patch is reprinted as the middle patch (column 7, lines 3-8). Applicant argues that Michel does not teach reprinting the patch on the same print medium. Applicant is reminded that the rejection is based on a combination of Takahashi and Michel and Takahashi already discloses that "printing patterns of two types of an adjustment pattern measuring density for adjusting and a confirmation pattern for confirming an adjustment are formed on a printing medium" (column 41, lines 50-54). Applicant's request for reopening of prosecution to address the limitation found in the last line of claim 23 is not well taken. The claimed number of shift dots is clearly shown in the test pattern depicted in fig. 37, which is referenced throughout the rejection. "The applicant... resorting to technical or other obvious subterfuges in order to keep the application pending before the primary examiner, can no longer find a refuge in the rules to ward off a final rejection, MPEP 706.07[R-3]. (\(\))

Continuation of 13. Other: As claim 10 has been cancelled, the rejection of claim 10 is rendered moot.